	Case 2:25-cv-00053-FMO-BFM	Document 8 #:76		.3/25 Page 1 of 7 FILED	r Pag e ID	
				CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CO	URT	
1	W W L L L			1/13/2025		
1	Marc Wolstenholme 5 Shetland Close			CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF		
2	Coventry, England CV5 7LS			BYRYODEP	PUTY	
3	Telephone: 044 7827964404 Email: marc@mywolf-fiction.co.uk			DOCUMENT SUBMITTED THROU ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT SUBMISSION		
4	Plaintiff in Pro Per		L			
5						
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
8						
9	MARC WOLSTENHOLME,		CASE NO. 2:2:	5-CV-00053-FMO-B	FM	
10 11	Plaintiff,	H	ION. FERNA	NDO M. OLGUIN		
	vs.	P	LAINTIFF'S	OPPOSITION TO		
12	RIOT GAMES, INC.,		EFENDANT	S MOTION TO DIS		
13				O FEDERAL RULE 12(B)(6) AND MOT		
14 15	Defendant	A	MORE DEF	(NITE STATEMENT O RULE 12(E)		
16			ATE: FEBRU	JARY 13, 2025		
17		Т	TME: 10:00 A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18			CRTRM: 6D			
19			OMPLAINT	FILED: OCTOBER 3	31 2024	
			EFAULT JUI	DGMENT FILED JA	,	
20			7, 2025: COMPLAINT	- SHORT FORM: JA	NIJARY	
21		0	1, 2025			
22				OMPLAINT FILED: 2025- ACCEPTED:		
23			ANUARY 10,			
24	Dated this: JANUARY 10, 2025					
25		-	M.Wolste	u h o Orm o		
26				TENHOLME]		
27				-		
28		1				
	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT					

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Marc Wolstenholme ("Plaintiff"), respectfully submits this Opposition to Defendant Riot Games, Inc.'s ("Defendant") Motion to Dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) and Motion for a More Definite Statement under Rule 12(e). Defendant's motion erroneously asserts that Plaintiff's Complaint fails to meet the pleading standards under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Contrary to Defendant's arguments, Plaintiff's Complaint sufficiently alleges facts that, if true, state claims for relief under the Copyright Act, California's Unfair Competition Law, and other causes of action. Additionally, the Complaint provides Defendant with sufficient notice to prepare an appropriate defense.

Plaintiff has exchanged many communications with the Defendant, providing upwards of 1000 strands of evidence supporting his allegations. These communications, spanning months, gave Defendant ample opportunity to understand the Plaintiff's complaints. Furthermore, Defendant has failed to serve any response or notices to the Plaintiff and failed to file a proper response to the court summons. Plaintiff also filed an amendment to the complaint, which has been accepted by the district court and served on the Defendant prior to the Motion to Dismiss being filed with the federal court.

Plaintiff alleges this is a continuation of the bad faith negotiations and threats against a vulnerable adult. Plaintiff argues that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is not an honest reflection of the Plaintiff's efforts to engage with pretrial discovery as the primary means for clarifying claims. Plaintiff further alleges that the Defendant has refused to cooperate and obstructed his attempts to advance the complaints and engage in pretrial discovery. Defendant's

	#:78					
1	motion lacks merit as it misrepresents Plaintiff's efforts and disregards the case management					
2	hearing already set with the district court.					
3	Plaintiff possesses substantial evidence, including: • UK Copyright registration details for "Bloodborg: The Harvest"					
4						
5						
6	Submissions of Copyrighted material with dates and times.					
7	Comparative analyses demonstrating substantial similarities between					
8	Plaintiff's work and Defendant's animated series "Arcane" & "Blood Sweat & Tears Official					
9	Music Video." & "Welcome to Noxus - Bite Marks."					
10	Communications with Riot Games and its subsidiaries, including Riot					
12	Forge, that demonstrate Defendant's awareness of Plaintiff's work.					
13	 Medical Records of the Plaintiff' paired to a Psycho-Emotional Analysis 					
14	of Trauma in Arcane, demonstrating how it matches therapy of trauma writing used in					
15	Bloodborg, from medical records.					
16						
17	Records of bad faith negotiations and legal threats, which exacerbated					
18	Plaintiff's emotional distress.					
19						
20	For the reasons set forth below, the Court should deny Defendant's Motion to					
21	Dismiss or, alternatively, grant Plaintiff leave to amend the Complaint.					
22	Distinss of, alternativery, grant I faintiff feave to afficient the Complaint.					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Under Rule 12(b)(6), a complaint should not be dismissed unless it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. The Court must accept all factual allegations as true and draw all reasonable inferences in favor of the Plaintiff. (Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009); Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). A complaint need only include "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief" (Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)).

Under Rule 12(e), a motion for a more definite statement is appropriate only if a complaint is "so vague or ambiguous that the party cannot reasonably prepare a response." Such motions are disfavored because the federal rules favor liberal pleading standards and pretrial discovery as the primary means for clarifying claims.

501)

A. Plaintiff Has Properly Stated a Claim for Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. §

- 1. Ownership of Copyright: Plaintiff's Complaint alleges ownership of the copyrighted work "Bloodborg: The Harvest," created between 2018 and 2019, and submitted to Riot Forge and others in 2020. Plaintiff holds exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, which Defendant does not contest at this stage.
- 2. Infringement by Defendant: The Complaint alleges that Defendant copied significant elements of "Bloodborg: The Harvest" to create the animated series ARCANE, plus the BLOOD SWEAT & TEARS Official Music Video, plus the WELCOME TO NOXUS BITE MARKS cinematic, including narrative themes, character arcs, and specific aesthetic choices for all of these products. Plaintiff's comparative analysis will further demonstrate these substantial similarities, which include but are not limited to thematic parallels, character designs, psycho-emotional narrative frameworks, and key plot points. These allegations meet the standard for pleading a claim of copyright infringement, as Plaintiff is not required to prove infringement at this stage but merely allege facts sufficient to state a claim. (Feist Publ'ns, Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co., 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991)).
- B. Plaintiff's Complaint Satisfies the Rule 8(a) Pleading Standard Defendant's argument that the Complaint lacks a narrative structure is baseless. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) requires only a "short and plain statement" of the claim. Plaintiff's allegations clearly identify:
 - The copyrighted work at issue ("Bloodborg: The Harvest").

- The alleged acts of infringement (e.g., copying characters, themes, and narrative structures in ARCANE, plus the BLOOD SWEAT & TEARS Official Music Video, plus the WELCOME TO NOXUS BITE MARKS cinematic).
- The harm Plaintiff has suffered (loss of revenue, reputational harm, and emotional distress).
 - C. Plaintiff's Other Causes of Action Are Sufficiently Pled
- 1. Unfair Competition (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200): Plaintiff alleges that Defendant engaged in unlawful business practices by misappropriating Plaintiff's intellectual property for commercial gain. These allegations satisfy the standard for unfair competition under California law.
- 2. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress: Plaintiff alleges that

 Defendant's legal representatives made threats regarding excessive legal fees, knowing

 Plaintiff's vulnerability due to complex PTSD. These actions are sufficiently alleged to be

 extreme, outrageous, and directly causing Plaintiff severe emotional harm. Plaintiff alleges the

 retaliations of people from Riot Games and its sister companies, along with the toxic and

 threatening community and cultural climate it fosters, has added to the emotional harm caused.
- D. Defendant's Rule 12(e) Motion for a More Definite Statement Should Be Denied Defendant's request for a more definite statement is unnecessary and improper. The Complaint provides sufficient detail for Defendant to understand the claims and prepare a response. Any additional clarification can be achieved through discovery, consistent with the purpose of the Federal Rules.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny Defendant's Motion to Dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) and Motion for a More Definite Statement under Rule 12(e). Alternatively, if the Court finds any portion of the Complaint insufficient, Plaintiff requests leave to amend pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2).

The Plaintiff, Marc Wolstenholme, M.W. Wolf.

Date: JANUARY 10, 2025

Signed: M. Wolstenholme.